

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/807,514	03/22/2004	Shaul Levi	112229-002DIV	3394		
27180 PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP 330 B STREET SUITE 2100 SAN DIEGO. CA 92101			EXAMINER			
			WANG, LIANG CHE A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2153		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@procopio.com PTONotifications@procopio.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/807,514	LEVI ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Liangche A. Wang	2153			

	Liangche A. Wang	2153					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 113(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If XD period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will replie SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If XD period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will replie SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. All AMONTED (5) SIX (2) SIX (3).  Any reply reclined by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even it timely filed, may reduce any earned patter term adjustment, See 37 CPR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ma	ay 2008.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
· _							
	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
are subject to restriction under	cicolori requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 909)	4) Intonvious Cummons	(DTO 412)					

Notice of References Cited (PTO-932)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CE)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/807,514 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

#### DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination.
- 2. Claims 1, 12 and 15 are amended.

#### The New Grounds of Rejection

 Applicant's amendment and argument with respect to claims 1-17 filed on 5/6/2008 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot in views of the new grounds of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over, Moshfeghi
  et al., US Patent Number 6,076,166 hereinafter Moshfeghi, in views of McLaughlin et al.,
  US Patent Number 5,636,139, hereinafter McLaughlin.
- Referring to claim 1, Moshfeghi teaches a method of assuring the quality of data being transmitted in response to a client request (Col 1 lines 36-41), the method comprising:
  - a data provider (web server) receiving a request for client requested data over the internet from a client (client 16)(figure 1, Col 2 lines 26-30, Col 1 lines 11-15, web server receives request from client);

Application/Control Number: 10/807,514

Art Unit: 2153

 b. obtaining said client requested data, in response to said request, at said provider (Col 2 lines 30-36);

Page 3

c. transmitting said obtained data to said client (Col 7 lines 28-31).

Moshfeghi does not teach responsive to said request, performing a quality assurance procedure at said data provider on said obtained client requested data to indicate whether said obtained client requested data is corrupted in order to assure the quality of said obtained client requested data, and if said quality assurance procedure does not indicate that said obtained client requested data is corrupted, then transmitting said data responsive to said quality assurance procedure; and if said quality assurance procedure indicates that said obtained client requested data is corrupted, then not transmitting said obtained client requested data.

McLaughlin teaches the service provider is operable to preview, verify and perform quality control of data captured, and once the service provider is satisfied (determining if the data is corrupted) with the data, then it is stored in the database (Col 17 lines 8-12).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the client requested data of Moshfeghi to be quality assured by the service provider as taught by McLaughlin, because both Mishfeghi and McLaughlin discloses service providers for providing services to clients.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Moshfeghi because having the requested data to be quality assured before transmitting would assure the data quality of the data before provided by the service provider.

Art Unit: 2153

- Referring to claim 2, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein said
  performing a quality assurance procedure comprises comparing said data to said request
  (Col 2 lines 43-45, McLaughlin Col 17 lines 8-12).
- Referring to claim 3, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein said
  performing a quality assurance procedure comprises comparing said obtained client
  requested data to stored data (Col 2 lines 43-58, McLaughlin Col 17 lines 8-12).
- Referring to claim 4, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein said
  performing a quality assurance procedure comprises checking an electronic signature
  associated with said obtained client requested data (Col 3 lines 58-65).
- 10. Referring to claim 5, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein said performing a quality assurance procedure comprises checking a limited usage-code associated with said obtained client requested data (Col 3 lines 58-65).
- 11. Referring to claim 6, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein said performing a quality assurance procedure comprises checking a one-way hash function of said obtained client requested data (Col 2 lines 26-57).
- 12. Referring to claim 7, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein said performing a quality assurance procedure comprises analyzing a content of said obtained client requested data against a preexisting value (Col 2 lines 43-45).
- 13. Referring to claim 8, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 1, further comprising transmitting a message when said quality assurance procedure indicates that s said obtained client requested data is corrupted (Col 6 lines 55-58).

Application/Control Number: 10/807,514

Art Unit: 2153

14. Referring to claim 9, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 9, further comprising receiving said obtained client requested data by a user of said obtained client requested data; and second performing a quality assurance procedure on said obtained client requested data, at said user (Col 7 lines 28-31, user is viewing the retrieved data).

Page 5

- 15. Referring to claim 10, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 9, wherein said second performing a quality assurance procedure comprises checking a digital signature of said obtained client requested data (Col 7 lines 28-31, user is viewing the retrieved data).
- 16. Referring to claim 11, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein said performing a quality assurance procedure comprises calculating a checksum for said obtained client requested data (Col 3 lines 5—65, data integrity).
- 17. Referring to claim 12, Moshfeghi teaches a method of data transmission (Col 1 lines 36-41) comprising:
  - a. receiving a request for data over the internet from a client (figure 1, Col 2 lines 26-30, Col 1 lines 11-15, web server receives request from client);;
  - b. obtaining data, in response to said request, at said provider (Col 2 lines 30-36);
  - transmitting said obtained data to said client (Col 7 lines 28-31).

Moshfeghi does not teach responsive to said request, performing a quality assurance procedure at said data provider on said obtained client requested data to indicate whether said obtained client requested data is corrupted in order to assure the quality of said obtained client requested data, and if said quality assurance procedure does not indicate that said obtained client requested data is corrupted, then transmitting said data

responsive to said quality assurance procedure; and if said quality assurance procedure indicates that said obtained client requested data is corrupted, then not transmitting said obtained client requested data.

McLaughlin teaches the service provider is operable to preview, verify and perform quality control of data captured, and once the service provider is satisfied (determining if the data is corrupted) with the data, then it is stored in the database (Col 17 lines 8-12).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the client requested data of Moshfeghi to be quality assured by the service provider as taught by McLaughlin, because both Mishfeghi and McLaughlin discloses service providers for providing services to clients.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Moshfeghi because having the requested data to be quality assured before transmitting would assure the data quality of the data before provided by the service provider.

- 18. Referring to claim 13, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 12, wherein said performing a quality assurance procedure comprises checking an electronic signature associated with said data (Col 3 lines 58-65).
- 19. Referring to claim 14, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 12, wherein if said quality assurance procedure indicates that said obtained data is corrupted, then obtaining back up data (figure 2, Col 6 lines 49-58).
- 20. Referring to claim 15, Moshfeghi teaches a system for data transmission (Col 1 lines 36-41) comprisine:

a. means for receiving a request for data over the internet from a client (figure 1, Col
 2 lines 26-30. Col 1 lines 11-15, web server receives request from client):

- means for obtaining client requested data, in response to said request, at said provider (Col 2 lines 30-36);
- c. means for transmitting said obtained data to said client (Col 7 lines 28-31).

Moshfeghi does not teach responsive to said request, performing a quality assurance procedure at said data provider on said obtained client requested data to indicate whether said obtained client requested data is corrupted in order to assure the quality of said obtained client requested data, and if said quality assurance procedure does not indicate that said obtained client requested data is corrupted, then transmitting said data responsive to said quality assurance procedure; and if said quality assurance procedure indicates that said obtained client requested data is corrupted, then not transmitting said obtained client requested data.

McLaughlin teaches the service provider is operable to preview, verify and perform quality control of data captured, and once the service provider is satisfied (determining if the data is corrupted) with the data, then it is stored in the database (Col 17 lines 8-12).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the client requested data of Moshfeghi to be quality assured by the service provider as taught by McLaughlin, because both Mishfeghi and McLaughlin discloses service providers for providing services to clients.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Moshfeghi because having the requested data to be quality assured before Application/Control Number: 10/807,514 Page 8

Art Unit: 2153

transmitting would assure the data quality of the data before provided by the service provider.

- 21. Referring to claim 16, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 15, wherein said performing a quality assurance procedure comprises checking an electronic signature associated with said obtained client requested data (Col 3 lines 58-65).
- 22. Referring to claim 17, Moshfeghi teaches the method according to claim 15 further comprising: means for obtaining backup data if said quality assurance procedure indicates that said obtained client requested data is corrupted (figure 2, Col 6 lines 49-58).

#### Conclusion

- 23. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP \$ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 24. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Application/Control Number: 10/807,514 Page 9

Art Unit: 2153

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Liang-che Alex Wang whose telephone number is

(571)272-3992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday,  $8:30~\mathrm{am}$ 

to 5:00 pm.

26. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenton B Burgess can be reached on (571)272-3949. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

27. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

FF-----

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Liang-che Alex Wang

June 10, 2008

/Liangche A. Wang/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2153